



International Journal of Clinical Biology and Biochemistry

ISSN Print: 2664-6188
 ISSN Online: 2664-6196
 Impact Factor: RJIF 5.35
 IJCBB 2023; 5(1): 33-38
www.biochemistryjournal.net
 Received: 22-09-2022
 Accepted: 24-11-2022

TT Meseret

Hawassa University College of
 Agriculture, School of Animal
 and Range Sciences, Ethiopia

YT Adugna

Hawassa University College of
 Agriculture, School of Animal
 and Range Sciences, Ethiopia

AN Ajebu

Hawassa University College of
 Agriculture, School of Animal
 and Range Sciences, Ethiopia

AK Aemiro

Hawassa University College of
 Agriculture, School of Animal
 and Range Sciences, Ethiopia

Corresponding Author:

TT Meseret

Hawassa University College of
 Agriculture, School of Animal
 and Range Sciences, Ethiopia

Milk yield and quality of crossbred and indigenous boran cows fed on different levels of energy diets

TT Meseret, YT Adugna, AN Ajebu and AK Aemiro

Abstract

Different levels of energy feed supplemented and assessed for nutrient intake, milk yield, quality, and weight changes of cross-bred (Holstein Frisian × Boran) and indigenous Boran dairy cows. The experimental cows are with an average body weight of 439.55 10.41 kg and milk yield of 8.07±0.61 liter/ day; 250±1.71kg, and 2.21±0.42 liter/ day for cross-bred and Boran respectively. Treatment diets are T₁=1*metabolizable energy (ME), T₂=1.25*ME, T₃=1.5*ME, and T₄=1.75*ME. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in milk yield, but no differences in milk composition. There was a significant difference in average body weight changes among treatments T₁= -30.40±11.4; T₃ and T₄= -6.00±11.4 kg. However, there were no weight changes for cows fed on T₂. It can be concluded that treatment diets containing 1.5 * and 1.75 × ME intake increase milk yield by 11% and 26% for cross-bred and, by 20% and 24% for indigenous Boran cows.

Keywords: Dairy cows, indigenous, metabolizable energy, supplement, weigh change

Introduction

Ethiopia has the largest livestock population in Africa and the livestock subsectors make substantial contributions to the livelihoods of farmers and livestock keepers as well as the national economy of the country. 97.4 percent of the total cattle population in the country are local breeds, and the remaining are hybrid and exotic breeds that accounted for 2.3 percent and 0.31 percent, respectively CSA (2021) [3]. Crossbred dairy cows are more productive than indigenous purebred cows in the tropics McDowell (1985) [11]. The average lactation period for indigenous dairy cows at the country level is estimated at seven months. Moreover, the average milk yield per cow per day is 1.48 liters and, 15.04 million milking cows produce 4.69 billion liters of milk per year CSA (2021) [3]. However, the potential of the livestock sector has not been fully exploited and the contributions achieved so far have been much below the potential of the animals' EIAR (2017) [5]. The prevailing low productivity level of the livestock sectors is due to feed shortage in terms of quantity and quality, the low genetic potential of animals, and the prevalence of animal diseases FAO (2018) [6]. Among these constraints, inadequate and low-quality feed resources are a limiting factor to the development of dairy production in all dairy production systems Belay *et al.* (2011) [4]. The major problem in livestock feeding systems in the country is the quality of most harvested and conserved feedstuffs when fed alone is unable to offer even the maintenance requirements of livestock. In general, poor nutrition is the major inhibitor of the country's livestock sector development and is expressed; in slow growth rate, low production, and reproduction performance and poorly fed animals give a low output of meat and milk Adugna (2007) [21]. Besides, the feeding standards for cattle, are followed by the National Research Council NRC (1985, 1996, and 2001) [14-16]. The lack of information on the nutrient need of indigenous livestock breeds in Sub-Saharan Africa is one of the challenges limiting ration formulation principles and accuracy in feeding practices to improve animal productivity and enhance the overall performance of the sector Thornton (2010). The nutrient needs of tropical animals are probably different from temperate countries because, there were genetic makeup differences, mature body size, growth rate, quality of feeds, climatic conditions, and differences in the efficiency of nutrient use of the animals' Paul *et al.* (2004) [19]. In dairy production nutrient requirements are fixed and nutrients supplied above these requirements are partitioned among different functions such as growth or milk production NRC, (2001) [14]. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate milk yield, quality, and weight change, of crossbred and indigenous Boran dairy cows fed on different levels of energy diets in Ethiopia.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted at the Holeta Agricultural research center dairy farm. Holeta Agricultural Research Centre is located 25 km west of Addis Ababa at 38.5°E longitude and 9.8°N latitude, and an elevation of 2,400 meters above sea level. Holeta is situated in the central highlands of Ethiopia. The average annual rainfall is approximately 1,200 mm and the annual average temperature is 18°C, and the average monthly relative humidity is 60%.

Animal Management

Twenty mid-lactating crossbred 50:50 (Holstein Frisian × Boran) dairy cows with an average body weight of 439.55 ± 10.41 kg, milk yield of 8.07 ± 0.61 liter/day, and parity 3, 4, and 5, and also twelve indigenous Boran lactating cows with an average body weight of 250 ± 1.71 kg and milk yield of 2.21 ± 0.42 liter/day were used. They were housed in an individual free-stall barn. The study was carried out for a total of 104 days; 14 days of adaptation to the experimental feed and 90 days of data collection on an actual experiment. All cows were dewormed before the start of the experiment. Experimental feed was offered at 8:00 AM and 3:00 PM, they had ad libitum access to water all the time. Milking was done at 5:00 AM and 3:30 PM in the afternoon.

Feed Preparation and Feeding

The study feed ingredients were composed of native grass hay, cotton seed cake, wheat bran, cracked maize grain, and sugar cane molasses. Maize grain was purchased from Holeta city; wheat bran, molasses, and cotton seed cake from nearby oil processing and flour milling factories (Adama). The treatment diets were offered in a separate feeding trough twice a day at 8:00 AM and 3:00 PM. The treatment diets were formulated based on NRC, (2001) [14]. The experimental diets were, T₁ (=1 × maintenance energy requirement (MER)), T₂ (=1.25 × MER), T₃ (=1.50 × MER), and, T₄ (= 1.75 × MER).

Experimental Design

The experimental design was a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four treatments and five replications. Blocking was done based on their initial body weight. Twenty Holstein Friesian (HF) crossbred dairy cows were blocked into five, and indigenous Boran cows were blocked into three blocks (a limited number of cows in the study area). Each of the four treatment diets was randomly assigned to each animal in each block.

Data Collection

Feed offered and refusals were recorded daily throughout the experimental period. Feed intake was calculated as the difference between the quantity of feed offered and feed

refused from each animal per day. Milk yield for indigenous Boran cows was calculated as the difference in calves' weight gained before and after suckling and, crossbred dairy cows were milked by using a milking machine twice a day at 5:00 AM and 10:00 PM.

Analysis of feed and milk samples

Before commencing the study, feed samples were analyzed for chemical composition. The DM content was determined by overnight drying the sample at 105°C according to the standard methods of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 1990). Ash content was determined by igniting the sample in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 3 h (AOAC, 1990). Total nitrogen (N) content was determined using the Micro Kjeldahl method, and the crude protein (CP) content was N × 6.25. Acid detergent fiber (ADF), and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) content were determined according to Van Soest and Robertson (1985), by using Fibertec™ 2010 and DAISY incubator. Milk samples were taken during the last 12 days of the study period and analyzed for total solids (TS), protein, fat, lactose MUN and solids-non-fat (SNF) content by a Milk Oscan Tester (LactoStar® Item No.3510; Funke Gerber; Berlin, Germany), using the methods described by AOAC (1990).

Statistical Analysis

A two-way ANOVA test was used to calculate the significance of animals fed on low or high energy levels. Data on DM intake, milk yield, milk composition, and average weight change was analyzed using the General Linear model (GLM) procedure of the statistical analysis for social science (SPSS). Duncan Multiple Range test was used for comparison of mean differences between treatments. The model used for data analysis was $Y_{ijkl} = \mu + M_j + T_k + E_{ijkl}$ (nutrient intake, milk yield, milk composition, and weight change) Where; μ = overall mean; M_j = milk yield; T_k = treatment effect; E_{ijkl} = random error Results will be presented as least square means with their standard errors of mean SEM.

Results

Feed Chemical Composition

The chemical composition of the study feed ingredients was presented in table 1. The dry matter (DM) content of native grass hay and wheat bran was higher as compared to other feeds and lower for sugar cane molasses. However, the crude protein (CP) content was highest for cotton seed cake (CSC), 24.39%, and the lowest for sugar cane molasses 3%. Higher neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), and total ash content were observed in native grass hay and the least was in molasses. In-vitro organic matter digestibility (IVOMD), is higher for molasses and lower for native grass hay.

Table 1: Chemical compositions of experimental feed

Treatments	DM%	Ash%	CP%	NDF%	ADF%	IVOMD	MEMJ/kg DM
NGH	90.0	8.95	5.43	72.0	42.1	44.6	7.40
CSC	89.2	7.54	24.4	37.2	16.7	52.6	9.70
WB	90.2	4.66	15.1	42.9	12.0	68.9	8.69
CMG	88.7	4.23	7.40	16.6	3.55	73.6	10.1
Molasses	65.0	3.10	3.00	3.70	2.30	90.4	16.5
±SD	11.0	2.45	8.71	26.2	16.1	20.4	3.50

**NGH= native grass hay, CSC=cotton seed cake, WB=wheat bran and MG=cracked maize grain

Dry matter and nutrient intakes

The mean daily dry matter (DM) and energy intake of lactating crossbred and indigenous Boran dairy cows are presented in table 2. The treatment diets were comprised of cotton seed cake, wheat bran, cracked maize grain, liquid sugar cane molasses, and native grass hay. There was no significant difference in total dry matter intake but, a higher difference was observed in nutrient intake (energy intake). The result showed that the dietary energy and crude protein percentage increased as changing the proportion of ingredients in the experimental diets. The energy (Mcal/day) and crude protein (g/kg DM) intake were higher for T₄, followed by T₃, and T₂, and, lower in treatment 1. The lowest energy intake was in treatment 1 (7.74MJ/kg DM and 5.30MJ/kg DM) for both cross-bred and indigenous Boran dairy cows. The highest energy intake was observed in treatment 4 (13.54MJ/kg DM and 8.80MJ/kg DM). However, the fiber (NDF and ADF) intake was higher in T₁ and lower in T₂, T₃, and T₄ respectively.

Table 2: Dry matter (kg DM/day) and nutrient intakes of indigenous Boran and crossbred dairy cows fed on different energy diet

Variables	Treatments				Mean ±SD
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	
Crossbred (50%)					
Energy Mcal/d	7.74 ^d	9.67 ^c	11.6 ^b	13.5 ^a	0.00
DMI kg/day	7.00	7.70	7.70	7.70	0.35
CPI g/kgDM	0.41	0.51	0.61	0.72	0.13
ADFI g/kgDM	2.77	2.75	2.01	1.39	0.66
NDFI g/kgDM	4.79	4.76	3.88	3.07	0.82
Indigenous Boran					
Energy Mcal/d	5.30 ^d	6.29 ^c	7.75 ^b	8.80 ^a	0.00
DMI kg/day	4.61	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.19
CPI g/kgDM	0.24	0.34	0.38	0.45	0.09
ADFI g/kgDM	1.86	1.84	1.30	0.88	0.47
NDFI g/kgDM	3.20	3.32	2.53	2.00	0.62

*NDF: neutral detergent fiber, ADF: acid detergent fiber, CP: crude protein, and DMI: dry matter intake

Milk yield and milk quality

Milk yield and milk composition of cross-bred and indigenous Boran dairy cows were presented in table 3. There was a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in milk yield among the different treatment groups. Cross-bred cows fed on diets of 1.75* ME and 1.50* ME produced significantly higher milk yield, 26% and 11% respectively than cross-bred dairy cows kept on a diet containing maintenance energy levels (T₁). Similarly, indigenous Boran cows kept on diets containing 1.75* ME, and 1.5* MER produced 24% and 20% higher milk yields than Boran cows kept on lower energy levels (T₁). Moreover, cows fed on 1.25* ME treatment diets were has no changes in milk yield compared to cows fed on T₁. Even though there was variation in milk yield, no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) was observed in milk composition (protein, fat, solid not fat, and lactose) at all treatment diets. Treatment 4 groups of cows produced higher milk of 9.57 liter/day for crossbred and 2.5 liter /day for indigenous Boran dairy cows.

Table 3: Mean milk Yield and composition of Indigenous cows fed on different energy level

Variables	Treatment groups				±SEM
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	
Cross bred					
Milk yield (liter)	7.62 ^c	7.56 ^c	8.46 ^b	9.57 ^a	0.92
Fat (%)	4.19	4.23	4.11	4.52	0.24
Solid non-fat (%)	8.47	8.59	8.42	8.61	0.23
Protein (%)	3.08	3.14	3.12	3.16	0.06
Lactose (%)	4.65	4.72	4.66	4.76	0.10
Indigenous Boran					
Milk yield (liter)	2.01 ^b	1.91 ^b	2.42 ^a	2.50 ^a	0.38
Fat (%)	4.19	4.74	3.56	3.75	1.09
Solid non-fat (%)	9.10	9.00	8.67	9.33	0.48
Protein (%)	3.33	3.29	3.21	3.42	0.18
Lactose (%)	5.03	4.95	4.65	5.13	0.44

** SEM=standard error of mean; T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄=treatment 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively.

Body weight Change

The overall mean body weight change for both cross-bred and indigenous dairy cows was presented in table 4. The average initial live weights of cross-bred and indigenous Boran dairy cows were 439.55±10.41 and 250.75±1.75 kg respectively. Moreover, the average final body weight was 429± and 246± kg respectively. There is no significant difference in the initial body weight of experimental dairy cows in both groups. Nevertheless, significant variation was observed in the final body weight of dairy cows fed in different treatment groups. The data showed that cows fed on T₁ had significantly ($p < 0.5$) higher body weight loss compared to the other treatment groups and, there was no significant difference in body weight changes in T₂ for both groups.

Table 4: Mean body weight changes of indigenous Boran and cross bred dairy cows fed on different level of energy feeds

Animal Factors	Treatment diets				±SEM
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	
HF Cross bred					
NEm(MJ/kg DM)	7.74	9.67	11.6	13.5	2.50
Initial weight(kg)	445	440	440	433	20.8
Final weight(kg)	415	438	434	427	25.6
Weight loss (kg)	-30.4 ^a	1.60 ^c	-6.00 ^b	-6.00 ^b	11.4
Indigenous Boran					
NEm(MJ/kg DM)	5.03	6.29	7.55	8.80	1.62
Initial weight(kg)	251	250	249	253	12.2
Final weight(kg)	240	251	244	250	12.4
Weight loss (kg)	-11.7 ^a	1.00 ^c	-4.67 ^b	-3.33 ^{bc}	1.61

**HF=Holstein Frisian, SEM=Standard Error of Mean
**HF=Holstein Frisian, NEm= net energy, DM= dry matter and wt= weight

Discussion

Dry Matter and Nutrient Intake

The mean dry matter intake of experimental cows was presented in table 2. There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in dry material intake in all treatments. However, there were significant differences in energy intake ranges from 7.74 -13.50 Mcal/day for crossbred dairy cows, and 5.30-8.80Mcal/day for indigenous Boran dairy cows. The

highest daily dry matter intake was observed in cows fed on the highest energy feed (T₄), followed by T₃, T₂ and the lowest was in T₁. The lowest DMI was observed in T₁ (4.61kg/day) cows supplemented in the low level of energy feeds than in cows fed on the higher level of energy diet supplementations (T₂, T₃ and T₄), this result is in line with the findings of Geleta and Demissu, (2020). Cows fed on T₁ had the highest NDF intake than those cows maintained on T₂, T₃ and T₄. The lowest neutral detergent fiber (NDF) intake recorded in cows supplemented with T₄ might be attributed to the lowest fiber intake (grass hay) recorded in cows fed on T₄ which in turn is associated with the high neutral detergent fiber (NDF) content of natural Rhodes grass hay (Radia *et al.* 2013)^[9]. The low dry matter intake (DMI) recorded in cows supplemented with T₁ might be attributed to the higher neutral detergent fiber (NDF) content of Rhodes grass hay and the lower proportion of energy feed that in turn negatively associated with intake (Arelovich *et al.* 2008)^[8]. In T₂, T₃ and T₄ the energy intake might enhance the efficiency of rumen microorganisms' fiber degradability and, digestibility thereby improving feed intake. In general, animals on feeds with better energy and protein content have better intake than those fed on solely grass diets (Steinshamn, 2010)^[20]. The low DM intake was recorded in cows supplemented with grass and cotton seed cake (T₁).

Milk yield and composition

In this study, daily milk yield was increased with increasing the level of energy and protein supplementation. This result in agreement with Steinshamn, (2010)^[20]. Adebabay *et al.* (2010)^[10] indicated that supplemented cows produced significantly more milk than those grazed on natural pasture alone. According to Melku *et al.*, (2017)^[12], the average daily milk yield for indigenous Boran dairy cows was 2.8±1 liters per day in mid-lactation was higher than our study. In this study daily milk yield of crossbred dairy cows was 8.07±0.5 liter/day was higher than the finding (7.3±0.1 liter/day) Demeke *et al.*, (2004) for cross-bred dairy cows. But lower than (9.75-10.7s liter milk/day) supplementation with different proportions of breweries dried grain and maize bran mixtures on cross bred dairy cows Tesfaye *et al.*, (2015). However, the milk yield for the Boran breed (2.21±0.9 liter/day) was lower than the result (3.4±0.2liter/day) observed by Demeke *et al.*, (2004); Geleta and Demissu (2020). Generally, the indigenous breed of cows is considered low milk producer than crossbred (Tadesse and Dessie, 2003). Similar results were also reported by Rehrahie and Getu (2010)^[13], who indicated that crossbred cows fed on urea treated wheat straw-supplemented diet have significantly higher milk yield than non-supplemented animals of crossbred cows.

Cows supplemented with lower energy content (T₁) produced a considerable amount of milk at the expense of higher average body weight loss (-31kg). Therefore, when energy intake increased at the mid-stage of lactation, is expected to result in further increases in milk yield, but, when energy intake was reduced the cow mobilizes her body fat. Cows on lower energy intake (T₁) dietary treatments in the present study were to lose body weight during the experimental period. Cows were still losing body weight at an increased energy level, but with a generally declining trend. This could probably be associated with the mobilization of body tissue to milk yield during the feeding

trial. In the current study, there was no variation observed in milk composition (milk protein, milk fat, and total solid) among the different levels of energy intake. In this study, milk fat and milk protein of Boran cows were lower than the review finding of Mohammed (2017) but, milk lactose was higher than his finding.

Body weight change

The daily mean initial live weight and periodic weight changes of crossbred dairy cows fed on different levels of energy diets are presented in table 3. The result shown on mean daily live weight loss was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher among treatments. There was significant weight loss was observed in crossbred dairy cows fed on T₁ (-30.80kg), T₃ (-6kg), and T₄ (-6kg). Likewise, in Boran dairy cows there was significant weight loss in T₁ (-11.11kg), T₃ (-4.67kg), and T₄ (-3.33kg). It agrees with the idea of SNV, (2017)^[18]. If a cow receives less energy, it reduces its reserves of body fat and it starts to lose weight. Even so, in both (indigenous Boran and HF crossbred) experimental dairy cows there was no body weight loss in T₂. The presence of noticeable differences in energy intake among the dietary treatment diets brings a significant effect on the weight change of the cows, which may be due to the utilization of nutrients consumed for milk production. During the early lactation (60-90 days after calving) all cows lost body weight, with a declining trend with an advance in lactation. A high amount of body weight loss of 120 g/day was reported by Getu (2006) for lactating crossbred cows. The efficiency of the mobilization of retained energy (weight loss) was assumed 80% of NEM (NASEM, 2016), and the retention of energy (weight gain) was assumed 68% of NEM.



Fig 1: Indigenous Boran



Fig 2: Treatment diet

Conclusions

Results showed that Holstein Frisian cross-bred and indigenous Boran dairy cows fed on native grass hay and supplemented with different levels of energy feeds have an effect on feed intake, milk yield, and weight changes of the experimental cows. This indicates that a remarkable improvement was achieved in milk yield by increasing the energy level of intake. The body weight loss was decreased as the energy intake of the cows increased from 1*MR to 1.5*MR and 1.75*MR except for 1.25*ME. This might be due to the T2 (1.25*ME) groups of cows producing lower milk yield and lower weight loss as compared to the remaining two treatment groups (T3 and T4). An average daily milk yield was increased for T3 and T4. From the study results, it can be concluded that diets containing 1.5*ME and 1.75*ME increased milk yield by 11% and 26% for cross-bred dairy cows and by 20% and 24% for indigenous Boran cows.

Conflict of interest

We certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial, personal, or other relationships with other people or organizations related to the material discussed in this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This research work was funded as a whole or part of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Bureau for Food Security under Agreement # AID-OAA-L-15-00003 as part of Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Livestock Systems and EQUIP. The authors acknowledge Southern Agricultural Research Institute, Holleta Agricultural Research Center, and EIAR. The authors very much appreciate Holeta research center nutrition and dairy laboratory workers for the excellent technical support in gas production and chemical analyses.

Disclaimer

This research work was funded as a whole or part of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Bureau for Food Security under Agreement # AID-OAA-L-15-00003 as part of Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Livestock Systems. Additional funding was received from Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed here are those of the authors alone.

References

- Haile B, Joshi K, Ayalew W, Tegegne A, Singh A. Estimates of genetic parameters for Boran, Friesian, and crosses of Friesian and Jersey with the Boran cattle in the tropical highlands of Ethiopia: Milk production traits and cow weight. *J Anim. Breed. Genet.* 2004 Feb;121(1):16317.
- AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 15th ed. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., Arlington. (Book); c1990.
- CSA. Agricultural sample survey. Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Central Statistical Agency. Private Peasant Holdings. Statistical Bulletin 570, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; c2021.
- Belay D, Yisehak K, Geert P. Analysis of constraints facing urban dairy farmers and gender responsibility in animal management in Jimma Town. *Af J Basic Appl Sci.* 2011;3:313-318.
- Belay D, Yisehak K, Janssens GP. EIAR. Livestock Research Strategies; Feeds and Nutrition, Rangelands and Animal Health (2016-2030). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; c2017. p. 6-20.
- FAO. Ethiopia: Report on feed inventory and feed balance, 2018. Rome, Italy. 160 pages. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IG; c2018.
- Freetly HC, Nienaber JH, Brandl TB. Partitioning of energy during lactation of primiparous beef cows. *J Anim. Sci.* 2006 Aug 1;84(8):2157-2162.
- Arelovich HM, Abney CS, Vizcarra JA, Galyean ML. Effects of Dietary Neutral Detergent Fiber on Intakes of Dry Matter and Net Energy by Dairy and Beef Cattle: Analysis of Published Data. *The Professional Animal Scientist.* 2008;24:375-383.
- Radia H, Firew T, Zelalem Y, Zeleke M. Feed INTAKE milk yield and milk composition of Fogera cows supplemented with different feeds. 2013;3(2):41-45.
- Adebabay K. Characterization of Milk Production Systems, Marketing and On- Farm Evaluation of the Effect of Feed Supplementation on Milk Yield and Composition of local cows at Bure district. M.Sc. Thesis. Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia; c2009. p. 3-8.
- McDowell. Crossbreeding in tropical areas with emphasis on milk, health and fitness, *Journal of Dairy Science.* 1985 Sep 1;68(9):2418-243.
- Melku M, Kefyalew A, Solomon G. Milk Production performances of local and crossbred dairy cows in west gojam zone, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *Journal of Applied Animal Science.* 2017;10(1):35-46.
- Rehrahie M, Getu K. Effect of feeding urea treated wheat straw-based diet on biological performances and economic benefits of lactating Boran-Friesian crossbred dairy cows. Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR), Holetta Research Center, PO Box. 2003. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; c2010.
- NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. 1989. 6th revised edition. Washington D.C: National Academy Press; c2001.
- NRC. Nutrient Requirements of Sheep. 6th rev. ed. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA, 1985.
- NRC. Nutrient requirements of beef cattle. 7th Rev. ed. National Academic Press, Washington, DC; c1996.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods of dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J Dairy Sci.* 1991;74(10):3583-3597.
- SNV. Dairy Cattle Feeding and Nutrition management. Training Package for Dairy Extension Workers Part I training manual and Part II guiding manual; c2017.
- Paul SS, Mandal AB, Mandal GP, Kannan A, Pathak NN. Deriving Nutrient Requirements of Lactating

- Indian Cattle under Tropical Condition. Asian-Aust. Journal of Animal Science. 2004 Jan 1;17(6):769-776.
20. Steinshamn Havard. Effect of forage legumes on feed intake, milk production and milk quality – A review. Animal Science Papers and Reports. 2010;28(3):195-206.
21. Adugna T. Feed Resources for Producing Export Quality Meat and Livestock in Ethiopia Examples from Selected Woredas in Oromia and SNNP Regional States. 2007;97.156.72.15